10/24/17

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Planning Board Chairman Peter Hogan.
Present were regular Board members, Mark Suennen, David Litwinovich and Ed Carroll, along
with Ex-Officio Joe Constance. Also present was Planning Consultant Mark Fougere and Planning Board Assistant Nadine Scholes.

6

12 13

1

Present in the audience for all or part of the meeting was Building and Code Enforcement
Officer Ed Hunter; Fire Inspector Eric Dubowik; owners, Scott Setzler and Amy Ross Norwood;
abutters, Brian Schadowsky and Steve Worthen; Canine Commitment volunteers, Jill Clinton,
Jill Minoughan and David Lendry; Vinnie Iacozzi, Open Space Committee member David
Woodbury, and Conservation Represtentative Ken Lombard.

Adjourned from 10/10/17

14 CANINE COMMITMENT OF NEW ENGLAND

- 15 SCOTT SETZLER & AMY ROSS NORWOOD (OWNERS)
- 16 <u>Public Hearing/NRSPR/Kennel</u>
- 17 Location: Bedford Road
- 18 Tax Map/Lot # 12/67
- 19 Residential-Agricultural "R-A" District
- 20

Peter Hogan noted that the application was accepted as complete at the meeting on October 10, 2017. Peter Hogan asked the Board what was found during the site walk on October 15, 2017. David Litwinovich and Mark Suennen had conducted the site walk. David Litwinovich stated there were two exterior lights that the owner needed to add to the site plan. David Litwinovich confirmed that the site plan had the correct number of parking spaces and confirmed the location of the parking on site.

27

Joe Constance asked if a sound test had been conducted at the location. David Litwinovich stated he had drove around the area before the site walk and parked for a short time on Pulpit Road. He said he did not hear any kind of barking or noise coming from the general direction of the kennel. David Litwinovich stated that he personal believed the lot was large enough and considering where the main house is located, it would act like a sound shield to the Pulpit Road residence. He noted the forest was dense between the kennel property and Pulpit Road.

34

Joe Constance asked if the parking worked at the site as shown on the plan. David Litwinovich stated the parking was as shown at the site.

Peter Hogan addressed the large group of attendees at the meeting. He advised the Board would listen to everyone that would like to share their opinion but clarified that the owners had the approval from the Zoning Board for the permitted use to operate the kennel in the R-A district. He noted that the Planning Board would not overturn the decision made by the Zoning Board and this was not a voting situation. He requested that anyone that would like to speak in attendance, to first give his or her name and address for the record.

	10/24/17
1	CANINE COMMITMENT OF NEW ENGLAND, cont.
2	
3	Abutter, Brian Schadowsky, 16 Pulpit Road, stated he heard dogs barking in the distance
4	at his home. He mentioned there are protective covenants that regulate this kind of use on the
5	property within the subdivision.
6	
7	Peter Hogan noted the subdivision covenants do not pertain to the kennel property, and it
8	would not be the Town's responsibility to enforce those restrictions.
9	
10	Peter Hogan asked Brian Schadowsky how was he certain the barking was coming from
11	the kennel. Mr. Schadowsky stated the barking was coming from the direction of the kennel and
12	there is nothing to block the sound but trees between him and the kennel.
13	
14	Peter Hogan mentioned that the owners have personal dogs in the home on the same
15	property as the kennel. Peter Hogan noted the owner had mentioned the personal dogs do bark at
16	the wildlife passing through their yard. Peter Hogan asked Brian Schadowsky if that could have
17	been the dog he heard barking. Mr. Schadowsky said he could not decipher if the barking was
18	from the kennel or the back of the house but he stated it had sounded like there were several
19	dogs.
20	
21	Peter Hogan asked Amy Ross Norwood how many personal dogs does she own. Amy
22	Ross Norwood indicated she has 4 personal dogs.
23	
24	Ed Carroll mentioned there is a dog-walking trail that runs along this property that is
25	known to be used quite frequently.
26	
27	Joe Constance asked Brian Schadowsky how long does the barking go on for. Mr.
28	Schadowsky said it generally could last 15 to 20 minutes but it is not everyday. Mr. Schadowsky
29	stated the only time it had been an issue was in the evening or later at night.
30	
31	Peter Hogan said the Board had other complaints but still could not determine the barking
32	was coming from the kennel, but if it were the owner's personal dogs, the Board could not do
33	anything about that. The Board only has the jurisdiction to regulate the dogs in the kennel. He
34	said the kennel is only allowed to operate during the hours of operation listed on the site plan and
35	it would prohibit the kennels dogs from being outside during the hours the kennel is not open,
36	from 8 p.m. to 8 a.m.
37	
38	Mark Fougere referred to a note on the site plan that states no rescue dogs are allowed to
39	be outside unsupervised.
40	
41	Joe Constance asked if Amy Ross Norwood left her personal dogs outside without supervision.
42	Ms. Norwood stated her dogs would never be left outside if she were not home and they have a
43	fenced in area to keep the dogs from leaving the property. She continued to describe the kennel
44	and stated there is also a fenced in area that is located in the rear of the building, with dense

10/24/17CANINE COMMITMENT OF NEW ENGLAND, cont.woods around the outdoor area. Ms. Norwood explained she gets dogs of all ages but the majority of the time she gets litters of puppies and generally, puppies do not tend to bark.

Jill Clinton stated she was not an abutter, but is a resident of New Boston. Ms. Clinton
said she has been a volunteer at the kennel for three years and worked the evening shifts. Ms.
Clinton stated the dogs are never outside past 8 p.m. and never outside without supervision. She
said all the volunteers/workers at the kennel are diligent to get the dogs back inside well before 8
p.m.

David Lendry, not an abutter, is also a resident of New Boston. Mr. Lendry stated that he, his wife and his daughter all volunteer at the kennel. Mr. Lendry mentioned that the kennel has a good reputation and is kept clean and organized both inside and outside. Mr. Lendry noted that the dogs are never left outside unsupervised.

15

1

2 3

4

5

16 Abutter, Steve Worthen stated that he also lived on Pulpit Road and could hear dogs 17 barking. Mr. Worthen stated he was not so concerned with the existing kennel but heard that 18 there was going to be an expansion. Peter Hogan said the kennel had existed and operated for 19 many years under the approval from the Zoning Board but there are no proposed changes to the 20 site. Peter Hogan noted that the kennel owner should have come directly to the Planning Board 21 to review the site plan after the Zoning Board approved the 'Special Exception' but the owner 22 was not aware this was needed until now. Peter Hogan stated there was no expansion to what 23 had existed for the past several years. Mr. Worthen noted this was the information that he heard 24 from other people in the area and is the only reason he came to the meeting. He stated that he 25 could hear dogs barking but he did not have any issues if the kennel did not expand as he was 26 told.

Steve Worthen asked what should be done if the barking doesn't stop. Peter Hogan noted
the owners had requested in past meetings, that they are contacted prior to calling the police department.

Scott Setzler agreed with Peter Hogan and suggested that if there are an issues with barking dogs he would appreciate a call before the police are contacted to distinguish if the barking is even coming from their property.

34

Jill Minoughan stated that she also is a volunteer at the kennel and worked the morning shift for the last 6 years. Ms. Minoughan said that the dogs are never let out before 8 a.m. and never let outside without supervision. Once the dogs are let outside, first thing in the morning, the inside of the kennel is cleaned and then the dogs are brought back inside. Ms. Minoughan stated the owners personal dogs will bark if they are outside when she first pulls up but stop once she is out of her car.

41

42 Peter Hogan stated the only issue seemed to stem from the rumor that the kennel was ex43 panding.
44

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

10/24/17 CANINE COMMITMENT OF NEW ENGLAND, cont. David Litwinovich noted that if there were any changes to the site or the provisions, i.e. the number of employees, the owner would be required to come back to have the Board review and approve those changes. David Litwinovich mentioned that the State also regulates the kennel business as it operates as a non-profit organization. Amy Ross Norwood agreed. Peter Hogan noted the application was accepted and complete for the review of the site plan and confirmed with a site walk. The Board would be able to motion to approve if there was nothing else to be discussed. Mark Suennen referred to the steps that would be required for approval and noted that all items are complete. Mark Suennen noted there were no site improvements required and there was no need to have a compliance hearing. He said the Board could go ahead with the approval without any conditions precedent or subsequent. Joe Constance MOVED to approve the Non-Residental Site Plan, for Amy Ross Norwood, Canine Commitment, to operate a kennel from property on Tax Map/Lot #12/67, 733 Bedford Road, as presented with adherence to all conditions. Mark Suennen seconded and the motion **PASSED** unanimously. Informational Session with Vinnie Iacozzi, Thibeault Corp of New England re: potential subdivision of Tax Map/Lot #6/40. Vinnie Iacozzi presented the proposed plan for the potential subdivision. Vinnie Iacozzi stated the lots had been merged involuntary in the past. The first step required, would be a lot line adjustment to reconfigure the old property lines, adding 4 acres to the parcel. The proposed plan showed the total of 63.10 acre parcel to be subdivided into 5 new lots. The largest of the lots, 39.4 acres, would be sold to the Town of New Boston, NH. The New Boston Open Space Committee would be responsible for the cost to prepare the mylars and recording the documents for the lot line adjustment. Joe Constance asked Vinnie Iacozzi to clarify the involuntary merge. Vinnie Iacozzi explained that Thibeault had purchased a 34 acre lot from Ms. Byam that abutted another lot owned by Mr. Thibeault. During reassesment by the Town of New Boston, NH, the lots had merged to create one lot. Peter Hogan suggested that a lot line adjustment would create the 39.4 acre lot to be sold to the Town of New Boston and the remaining parcel could be subdivided into 4 new lots.

10/24/17

	10/24/17
1	Informational Session with Vinnie Iacozzi, Thibeault Corp of New England, cont.
2	
3	Vinnie Iacozzi noted the subdivision would create 4 residiental lots with one back lot.
4 5	The back lot would have the required 50' frontage on Byam Road.
5 6	Mark Sympton asked if the 50' frontage would be directly concer the street from the av
	Mark Suennen asked if the 50' frontage would be directly across the street from the ex-
7	isiting 50' frontage to access Tax Map/Lot #40/2-1. Mr. Iacozzi answered that it would be pretty
8 9	close.
9 10	Vinnie Iacozzi indicated the existing farm house would be torn down to be able to recon-
10	figure the lot. Peter Hogan asked if this was the original home that existed on the lot. Mr.
12	Iacozzi confirmed it was the original home.
12	lacozzi commined it was the original nome.
13 14	Vinnie Iacozzi stated the application for the proposed subdivision would be submitted to
14	the Planning Department in March 2018. Mr. Iacozzi noted that he expected the application pro-
16	cess would take about 3-4 months to complete. Vinnie Iacozzi noted the lot would be the last
10	remaining piece left over from the old gravel pit. It would create a buffer between the commer-
18	cial and residental lots.
19	
20	David Woodbury stated that the Open Space Committee would support any proposed
21	plan for the remaining parcel, if the lot (known as the cornfield) was sold to the Town.
22	plan for the remaining purcer, if the for (known as the continent) was sold to the rown.
23	Mark Suennen noted the Planning Board was actively reviewing the Town's water supply
24	with the Fire Wards. He advised that the proposed major subdivision may require a water supply
25	if one doesn't exist within 2200'. Vinnie Iacozzi stated he thought the existing cistern on the top
26	of Byam Road would be within the 2200' requirement for the water supply. He noted he would
27	check the distance between the parcel and any existing water cisterns close by.
28	
29	
30	Continued Discussion, re: proposed Zoning Ordinance / Building Code amendments for
31	2018.
32	
33	Fire Inspector Eric Dubowik noted he provided the Board with the proposed solar panel
34	codes to be adopted into the building code. He stated that the package he prepared had the fol-
35	lowing sections; first would be the proposed codes; second would be the main points with adopt-
36	ing the codes; third would be the State of NH adopted codes and finally the codes with changes
37	proposed to be adopted. Eric Dubowik stated the changes shown in the new code are highlight-
38	ed.
39	
40	Ed Carroll asked how solar panels are regulated currently. Eric Dubowik answered that
41	the current regulations had been adopted from the 2014 Electrical Code. He noted these codes
42	are now reserved because of how fast the technology has advanced in solar panels since they
43	were adopted.
44	

10/24/17

Continued Discussion, re: proposed Zoning Ordinance / Building Code amendments for 2018, cont.

2 3

1

4 Eric Dubowik explained that the Fire Chief requested these new codes be adopted. The 5 new code would keep homeowners and service personnel, especially fire fighters, much safer 6 than the current codes. He explained the biggest changes to the required safety labels are to be 7 clearly seen and reflective. Rapid shut downs would be required for all new installed solar panel 8 systems. The roof clearances would be increased from 1' to 3' around the entire ridge of the 9 roof. The danger zone would decrease from 10' to 1'.

10

11 Joe Constance asked how would the rapid shut down be activated. Eric Dubowik ex-12 plained the different situations that would activate the solar panels rapid shut down feature. First 13 loss of power would activate the rapid shut down and the boxes could be manually shut down in 14 emergency situations.

15

16 Ed Carroll asked if solar panel systems installed within the past few years would be close 17 to these new proposed codes. Building and Code Enforcement Officer Ed Hunter replied that 18 older systems would most likely be in compliance with the current 2014 codes but would not 19 comply with the new codes if adopted. Eric Dubowik noted that the new code required all new 20 solar panel systems to have rapid shut down features by 2019. Eric Dubowik noted that older 21 solar panel systems had panels that were connected electrically and with the new technology, 22 each panel would be self-sufficient.

23 24

Mark Suennen asked where would the rapid shut down device be installed. Eric 25 Dubowik noted the rapid shut downs will need to be within 3 feet of the incoming service box on 26 the exterior of the home. Mark Suennen asked if the required rapid shut down would only be for 27 the solar panel systems that are attached to a home or would they pertain to stand alone systems 28 also. Eric Dubowik stated that the code addresses both types of systems but freestanding units 29 may not have as many regulations. 30

31 Mark Suennen suggested that Eric Dubowik meet with Planning Consultant, Mark Foug-32 ere to review the language for the amendments to the Building Code. Mark Suennen stated 33 amendments would need to be submitted by the end of November. He suggested the Building 34 Code Chapter could only reference the official code that would be adopted. 35

36 Ed Hunter noted the new codes would clearly specify the standards for electrical and 37 building requirements for any new solar panel systems to be installed. 38

39 Peter Hogan requested that item 4a and 4b on Miscellaneous be discussed with the 40 **Building and Code Enforcement Officer Ed Hunter.**

- 41
- 42 4a. Copy of letter, dated October 24, 2017, from Ed Hunter, Building and Code Enforcement Officer, to Mario & Genevieve Pelletier, re: cordwood processing site plan, Tax 43 44 Map/Lot# 14/105, for the Board's review and discussion.

10/24/17

Miscellaneous Business and correspondence, cont. (ONLY items 4a and 4b)

4b. Copy of Approved Non-Residential Site Plan and Site Notes, Tax Map/Lot #14/105 212 McCollum Road.

5 Peter Hogan asked Ed Hunter if the violation was discovered during site compliance or 6 was there a complaint. Ed Hunter noted there had been a complaint that the location of the 7 sawmill was too close to the road. Ed Hunter noted the owner had been notified that they would 8 need to move the unit back at least 150' from the road to comply with the approved site plan. Ed 9 Hunter noted he would have to follow up to see if the unit was moved.

- Continued Discussion, re: proposed Zoning Ordinance / Building Code amendments for
- 13 **2018.** 14

1 2

3

4

10

Mark Fougere noted the Board had the letter to review that he drafted to notify the property owners of the proposed zoning change. The letter would be sent to all the property owners that would be considered for re-zoning of the lots along River Road from the center of Town to Molly Stark Lane. Mark Fougere noted the allowed uses in both residential and commercial district would be enclosed with the letter. Property owners were requested to respond by the deadline of November 13, 2017 to be able to discuss at the November 14, 2017, Planning Board meeting.

22

Ed Carroll asked if the impacts on the tax rates had been researched. Mark Fougere noted he had emailed the Assessor. The Assessor had indicated a form would need to be completed by the property owner indicating the primary use as residential and submitted to the State of NH. Mark Fougere noted he would like to discuss this in more detail with the Assessor. He noted he would try to have this information for the Board at the next meeting.

Ed Carroll asked for the language to be changed in the proposed letter and the Board agreed on the revisions to be made to the letter prior to mailing. The lots to be included were discussed and the Board agreed the letter could be sent with the requested changes.

Mark Fougere referred to the Tax Map that the Board was given to indicate the lots proposed for zoning changed on Chestnut Hill Road. Mark Fougere said that the owner of the lots is Emile Bussiere Jr. and he would be interested if the Board would support the change to zoning on these lots from residential to commercial use. Peter Hogan said that he felt the Board would fully support the proposed change to commercial and had been looking for lots that could be used for commercial use in this area of Town for some time now.

39

40 Mark Suennen pointed out that the lots proposed for the zoning change appear to be di41 rectly across from Klondike Corner.
42

43 Mark Fougere noted there are lots that are in the same area that had already been zoned44 for commercial use.

10/24/17

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

19

Continued Discussion, re: proposed Zoning Ordinance / Building Code amendments for 2018, cont.

Ed Carroll asked if Mr. Bussiere currently owned the lots. Mark Fougere answered that Mr. Bussiere is the current owner.

Joe Constance asked if both of the lots shown would be used for commercial. The lots abut each other and are marked as 84 acre and 40 acre lot. Mark Fougere believed that both of the lots would be proposed for the change to commercial use.

Peter Hogan stated if the owner was looking for the support of the Board, he would be all in favor to support the change. He believed this would be the most viable area in Town for commercial use. Mark Fougere agreed that the areas in Town are limited for possible lots for commercial use and these lots would be ideal.

16 Mark Suennen asked if the owner had given any ideas or indication what kind of com-17 mercial business he would propose for the lots. Mark Fougere was not sure but believed that the 18 idea for a gas station was possible.

Mark Fougere asked the Board if they would support the owner of the lots if he were to
 propose the change to commercial use for these lots. The Board members were all in favor to
 support the owner's proposal.

Mark Fougere asked if the Board would like to move forward with the proposed Older Persons Housing Ordinance in 2018. The Board was all in favor. Mark Suennen and David Litwinovich noted they had some changes to the document. Mark Fougere noted that any further changes could be handled through email.

28 29

Continued Discussion, re: Master Plan, specifically; east side overlay district.
 30

Mark Fougere referred to the East New Boston Neighborhood Plan that is included in the
 Master Plan. Mark Fougere told the Board they would need to identify a few reasons to describe
 the thought behind this plan. The Board should also discuss any issues that need to be addressed.

Ed Carroll stated he thought that the East side of New Boston would be the most in need of Town services. Mark Suennen asked Ed Carroll if he meant services such as ambulance, police and fire. Ed Carroll replied yes.

Joe Constance mentioned two items to consider in development of the East side of New
Boston, Bedford Road traffic flow and common driveway cuts. Joe Constance stated there are
some improvements with the flow of traffic on Bedford Road since the most recent road construction but believed there would need to be some reconfiguring in a few more spots along Bedford to improve the flow of traffic.

10/24/17 1 Continued Discussion, re: Master Plan, cont. 2 3 Mark Suennen suggested reviewing the future possibilities for an overlay district. Ed 4 Carroll asked what benefits would come from overlaying the district. Mark Suennen said it 5 would prevent the need for the lots to be re-zoned for other use. 6 7 Peter Hogan noted that many lots would probably exist if the Town allowed common 8 driveway cuts but there had been issues with neighbors not getting along that had shared drive-9 ways. He suggested to maybe only allow shared driveways if the frontage for each lot allowed for the space if they ended up needing to be separated. 10 11 12 Mark Fougere said he had suggested reviewing the possibility for common driveway cuts 13 in this area because it would limit the driveway cuts on the main road. 14 15 16 Miscellaneous Business and correspondence for the meeting of October 24, 2017, including, 17 but not limited to: 18 19 1. Approval of the September 26, 2017, meeting minutes, with or without changes. (distrib-20 uted by email) 21 22 Mark Suennen **MOVED** to approve the September 26, 2017, meeting 23 minutes, with changes. Ed Carroll seconded the motion and it 24 **PASSED** anonymously. 25 26 2. Distribution of the October 10, 2017, meeting minutes, for approval at the November 14, 27 2017 meeting, with or without changes. (distributed by email) 28 3. Letter received October 12, 2017, from Heather Storzbach, to the New Boston Planning 29 30 Board, re: need for NRSPR/operation of home business, Tax Map/Lot# 8/4, 106 Clark 31 Hill Road, for the Board's review and discussion. 32 Planning Board Assistant Nadine Scholes noted that Heather Storzbach had first indicat-33 34 ed she would not be having any customers come to her home. Then in the letter she indicated 35 there could be some customers that would come to her home but not on a regular basis. Nadine 36 Scholes noted that Heather Storzbach's letter indicating the occasional visit at her home and the 37 need for signage would require her to submit the application and Non-Residential Site Plan for 38 the Board to approve. The Board agreed that the application would need to be submitted for op-39 eration of this home business. 40 41 42 4a. Copy of letter, dated October 24, 2017, from Ed Hunter, Building and Code Enforcement 43 Officer, to Mario & Genevieve Pelletier, re: cordwood processing site plan, Tax

44 Map/Lot# 14/105, for the Board's review and discussion.

10/24/17

	10/24/17
1	Miscellaneous Business and correspondence, cont.
2	
3	4b. Copy of Approved Non-Residential Site Plan and Site Notes, Tax Map/Lot #14/105, 212
4	McCollum Road.
5	
6	Both 4a and 4b were discussed earlier in meeting with the Building and Code Enforcement Offi-
7	cial, Ed Hunter.
8	
9	Joe Constance mentioned that he had requested the Fire Wards to research other alterna-
10	tive options for water cisterns that would not cost as much but still serve the same purpose. Joe
11	Constance noted he would update the Board with any new information he received from the Fire
12	Wards.
13	
14	Joe Constance MOVED to adjourn public session at 8:13pm to continue
15	in Non-public session per RSA 91-3:A II, sections A, B & C. Mark
16	Suennen seconded the motion and it PASSED unanimously.
17	
18	
19	Respectfully submitted, Minutes Approved: 12/12/17
20	Nadine Scholes, Planning Board Assistant